All information contained berein was provided by the member company whose territory relates to the statute and represents the view/practice of that member company and does

All information con 2/27/2024	tained herein was provided by the member company whose territory relates to the statute and represents the view/practice of that member company and does  Is a Participating Dentist Limited to the Contracted Approved Fee?									
State	Age Limitation denial	No payment on procedure due to patient and/or family maximum reached or frequency denials	Service rendered on a tooth that is not eligible (Ex - sealants)	Member's plan does not include coverage for this service	Eligibility denial	Waiting Period denial	Alternate Benefit provided (Ex - composite filling submitted and an alternate benefit of an amalgam is provided)	Service denied due to clinical (dental consultant) review	When you implemented the non- covered services policy, wasit based on the claim's date of service or the processing date?	Effective Date of non-covered services law
AK	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Processing date	9/13/2010
AL	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	
AR	No for age limitations. Yes for time limitations	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	N/A due to no change in current processing	3/22/2011
AZ	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Processing date	1/1/2011
CA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of service	1/1/2011
со	Yes if too young for procedure, no if over the age limitation	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of service	
	No for CT insured contracts where the CT law applies. Yes, where the CT law (PA 11-58, Section 19) does not apply. The CT law does NOT apply to self-funded contracts or to insured coverage contracts that are incorporated in or derived from a collective bargaining agreement.		No for CT insured contracts where the CT law applies. Yes, where the CT law (PA 11-58, Section 19) does not apply. The CT law does NOT apply to self-funded contracts or to insured coverage contracts that are incorporated in or derived from a collective bargaining agreement.	No for CT insured contracts where the CT law applies. Yes, where the CT law (PA 11-58, Section 19) does not apply. The CT law does NOT apply to self-funded contracts or to insured coverage contracts that are incorporated in or derived from a collective bargaining agreement.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	As of processing date 01/01/2012: CT law (PA 11-58, Section 19) does not allow holding DDS to maximum approved fee for noncovered services. The CT law does NOT apply to self-funded contracts or to insured coverage contracts that are incorporated in or derived from a collective bargaining agreement.	1/1/2012
DC	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of service	
DE	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	
FL	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	7/1/2014
GA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	7/1/2011
HI	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes		
	Iowas NCS law applies	applies. If paid anything on a claim, then the NCS does not apply	Yes for ASC / No for groups that Iowas NCS law applies	No	No	Yes for ASC / No for groups that lowas NCS law applies	Yes for ASC / No for groups that Iowas NCS law applies	Date of Service	Yes for ASC / No for groups that lowas NCS law applies	7/1/2010
ID	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Effective 1/1/13	1/1/2013
IL III	Yes	Yes	Yes	No offsetive 7/1/22	No	Yes	Only if the original submitted code is included in the group's benefit plan. If not, we do not hold	Yes	Claims processed for an IL dentist cannot hold that dentist to the MPA for a service not included in the group benefit plan	1/1/2013
IIV	Yes	Yes	Yes	No, effective 7/1/22	No	Yes	Yes	Yes, effective 7/1/22	Date of service	7/4/2212
KY	Yes No	Yes Yes	Yes No	No No	No No	Yes Yes	Yes Yes	Yes No	Date of service Legislation prohibiting dental insurers from holding providers to allowed fees for non-covered services KRS 304.17C-085	7/1/2010 7/1/2012

LA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of service	1/1/2011
МА	Yes, but not for orthodontic services if it has a specific age limit	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	We are in process of implementation until pending legislation in MA is finalized.	pending
MD	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	10/1/2011
ME	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes		Date of service	
МІ	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of service	
MN	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes		Processing date	8/1/2011
мо	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	1 100cssmg date	8/28/2013
MS	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	7/1/2012
MT	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes		7/2/2013
NC									Processing date	
ND ND	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date pf servoce	7/21/2010
	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	No		Processing Date	8/1/2011
NE	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes		Date of service	7/15/2010
NH	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No		N/A due to no change in current processing.	
NJ	No for NJ insured contracts. Yes, when NJ law doesn't apply as for example, for self-funded contracts.	Yes	No for NJ insured contracts. Yes, when NJ law doesn't apply as for example, for self- funded contracts.	No for NJ insured contracts. Yes, when NJ law doesn't apply as for example, for self-funded contracts.	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Effective for contracts issued or renewed on 11-28-14 or thereafter. It is unclear as to whether "contracts" refers to participation agreements or coverage contracts. DDNJ intends to automatically apply the law to all dentists and to all fully insured contracts for dates of service 7-1-15 and thereafter	11/28/2014
NM	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	4/7/2011
NV	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	1,1,2022
NY	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of service	
ОН	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of service.	
ОК	Yes	Yes	Yes	No No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Date of service.	11/1/2010
OR	No; if a service is not covered under the contract, OR does not hold dentists to their filed fees.	Yes	If the service is not covered under the contract, then OR does not hold the dentist to the MPA/filed fee. If the service is covered and only being denied for frequency or maximum, then OR does hold the dentist to their filed fee.	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	3/18/2010
PA	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	N/A	12/24/2012
PR	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes		N/A	
RI	No	No, unless \$1 or more paid	No	No	No	Yes	No		N/A	6/18/2009
SC	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes		N/A	
SD	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes		Date of Service	7/1/2010
TN	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	5/23/2011
TX	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	9/1/2011
UT	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	3/1/2011
VA	No	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	VA's contracts have been written to pay this way all along; nothing will be changing.	7/1/2010
VT	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No		Date of service	
WA	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	We cannot implement per WA state Substitute House Bill 2686	6/10/2010

WI	No	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	No	Processing date	1/1/2014
wv	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	Date of Service	
WY	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	Yes	No	Yes	Date of service	7/1/2011